

Under the Model's assumptions, a relatively few copper cables serving short distances (e.g., less than 9,000 ft. feeder cable length), and one or more fiber cables to serve longer distances, will be needed. Since the number of cables in each of the four feeder routes is relatively small, the predominant cost is that of the trench, plus the material cost of a few additional 4" PVC conduit pipes. No additional allowance is necessary for stabilizing the conduit in the trench.

**Spare Tubes per Route:**

"A major advantage of using conduits is the ability to reuse cable spaces without costly excavation by removing smaller, older cables and replacing them with larger cables or fiber facilities. Some companies reserve vacant ducts for maintenance purposes."<sup>31</sup> Version 5.0a of the HAI Model provides one spare maintenance duct (as default) in each conduit run. In addition, if there is also a fiber feeder cable along with a copper feeder cable in the run, an additional maintenance duct (as a default) is provided in each conduit run to facilitate a fiber cable replacement at the same time a copper cable replacement may be required.

#### 4.4.19. Pullbox Spacing

**Definition:** Spacing between pullboxes in the interoffice portion of the network.

**Default Value:**

Pullbox Spacing
2,000 feet

**Support:** {NOTE: The discussion in Section 3.2.2. [Feeder] is reproduced here for ease of use.}

Unlike copper manhole spacing, the spacing for fiber pullboxes is based on the practice of coiling spare fiber (slack) within pullboxes to facilitate repair in the event the cable is cut or otherwise impacted. Fiber feeder pullbox spacing is not a function of the cable reel lengths, but rather a function of length of cable placed. The standard practice during the cable placement process is to provide for 5 percent excess cable to facilitate subsurface relocation, lessen potential damage from impact on cable, or provide for ease of cable splicing when cable is cut or damaged.<sup>32</sup> It is common practice for outside plant engineers to require approximately 2 slack boxes per mile.

#### 4.4.20. Pullbox Investment

**Definition:** Investment per fiber pullbox in the interoffice portion of the network.

**Default Value:**

Pullbox Investment
\$500

**Support:** {NOTE: The discussion in Section 3.7. [Fiber Feeder] is reproduced here for ease of use.}

<sup>31</sup> Bellcore, *BOC Notes on the LEC Networks* - 1994, p. 12-42.

<sup>32</sup>CommScope, *Cable Construction Manual*, 4<sup>th</sup> Edition, p. 75.

The information was received verbally from a Vice President of PenCell Corporation at their Supercom '96 booth. He stated a price of approximately \$280 for one of their larger boxes, without a large corporate purchase discount. Including installation, HM 5.0a uses a default value of \$500.

#### 4.4.21. Pole Spacing, Interoffice

**Definition:** Spacing between poles supporting aerial interoffice fiber cable.

**Default Value:**

Pole Spacing, Interoffice	
150 feet	

**Support:** This is a representative figure accounting for the mix of density zones applicable to interoffice transmission facilities.

#### 4.4.22. Interoffice Pole Material and Labor


**Definition:** The installed cost of a 40' Class 4 treated southern pine pole.

**Default Values:**

Pole Investment	
Materials	\$201
Labor	\$216
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$417</b>

**Support:** *{NOTE: The discussion in Section 2.4.1. [Distribution] is reproduced here for ease of use. Refer to Section 2.4.1. [Distribution] for material, labor and total pole investment as depicted in a compilation of pole data charts that has recently been filed by large telephone companies with the FCC.}*

Pole investment is a function of the material and labor costs of placing a pole. Costs include periodic down-guys and anchors. Utility poles can be purchased and installed by employees of ILECs, but are frequently placed by contractors. Several sources revealed the following information on prices.



The exempt material load on direct labor includes ancillary material not considered by FCC Part 32 as a unit of plant. That includes items such as downguys and anchors that are already included in the pole placement labor cost. The steel strand run between poles is likewise an exempt material item, charged to the aerial cable account. The cost of steel strands is not included in the cost of poles; it is included in the installed cost of aerial cable.

#### 4.4.23. Fraction of Interoffice Structure Common with Feeder

**Definition:** The percentage of structure supporting interoffice transport facilities that is also shared by feeder facilities, expressed as a fraction of the smaller of the interoffice and feeder investment for each of the three types of facilities (i.e., aerial, buried and underground are treated separately in calculating the amount of sharing).

**Default Value:**

Fraction of Interoffice Structure Common with Feeder
.75

**Support:** Interoffice transport facilities will almost always follow feeder routes which radiate from each central office. Typically only a small distance between adjacent wire centers is not traversed by a feeder route; for this distance, structure is appropriately assigned exclusively to interoffice transport. In the opinion of a team of outside plant engineers, the additional structure required exclusively for interoffice transport is no more than 25 percent of the distance. Therefore, 75 percent of the interoffice route is assumed by the HM 5.0a to be shared with feeder cables.

#### 4.4.24. Interoffice Structure Sharing Fraction

**Definition:** The fraction of investment in interoffice poles and trenching that is assigned to LECs. The remainder is attributed to other utilities/carriers.

**Default Values:**

Fraction of Interoffice Structure Assigned to Telephone		
Aerial	Buried	Underground
.33	.33	.33

**Support:** The structure sharing with other utilities covered by this parameter involves the portion of interoffice structure that is not shared with feeder cable. Sharing with other utilities is assumed to include at least two other occupants of the structure. Candidates for sharing include electrical power, CATV, competitive long distance carriers, competitive local access providers, municipal services and others. See also Appendix B.

## 4.5. TRANSMISSION PARAMETERS

### 4.5.1. Operator Traffic Fraction

**Definition:** Fraction of traffic that requires operator assistance. This assistance can be automated or manual (see Operator Intervention Fraction in the Operator Systems section below)

**Default Value:**

Operator Traffic Fraction
0.02

**Support:** Industry experience and expertise of HAI.

### 4.5.2. Total Interoffice Traffic Fraction

**Definition:** The fraction of all calls that are completed on a switch other than the originating switch, as opposed to calls completed within a single switch.

**Default Value:**

Total Interoffice Traffic Fraction
0.65

**Support:** According to *Engineering and Operations in the Bell System*, Table 4-5, p. 125, the most recent information source found to date, the percentage of calls that are interoffice calls ranges from 34 percent for rural areas to 69 percent for urban areas. Assuming weightings according to the typical number of lines per wire center for each environment (urban, suburban, rural), these figures suggest an overall interoffice traffic fraction of approximately 65 percent.

### 4.5.3. Maximum Trunk Occupancy, CCS

**Definition:** The maximum utilization of a trunk during the busy hour.

**Default Value:**

Maximum Trunk Occupancy, CCS
27.5

**Support:** AT&T Capacity Cost Study.<sup>33</sup>

### 4.5.4. Trunk Port Investment, per End

**Definition:** Per-trunk equivalent investment in switch trunk port at each end of a trunk.

---

<sup>33</sup> Blake, et al., "A Study of AT&T's Competitors' Capacity to Absorb Rapid Demand Growth", p.4.

Default Value:

Trunk Investment, per end
\$100

**Support:** AT&T Capacity Cost Study.<sup>34</sup> HAI judgment is that \$100 is for the switch port itself.

#### 4.5.5. Direct-Routed Fraction of Local Interoffice Traffic

**Definition:** The amount of local interoffice traffic that is directly routed between originating and terminating end offices as opposed to being routed via a tandem switch.

Default Value:

Direct-Routed Fraction of Local Interoffice
0.98

**Support:** The direct routed fraction of local interoffice is based on data filed by the LECs in response to an FCC data request issued in Docket 80-286: *In the Matter of Amendment of Part 36 of the Commission's Rules and Establishment of a Joint Board*, Docket 80-286, Order, December 1, 1994, 9 FCC Rcd 7962 (1994). See Universal Service Fund Data Request, File 1 of 4, page 8 of 11, 9 FCC Rcd 7962, 7976.

#### 4.5.6. Tandem-Routed Fraction of Total IntraLATA Toll Traffic

**Definition:** Fraction of intraLATA toll calls that are routed through a tandem.

Default Value:

Tandem-Routed Fraction of Total IntraLATA Toll Traffic
0.2

**Support:** The tandem routed fraction of total intraLATA toll traffic is based on data filed by the LECs in response to an FCC data request issued in Docket 80-286: *In the Matter of Amendment of Part 36 of the Commission's Rules and Establishment of a Joint Board*, Docket 80-286, Order, December 1, 1994, 9 FCC Rcd 7962 (1994). See Universal Service Fund Data Request, File 1 of 4, page 8 of 11, 9 FCC Rcd 7962, 7976.

#### 4.5.7. Tandem-Routed Fraction of Total InterLATA Traffic

**Definition:** Fraction of interLATA (IXC access) calls that are routed through a tandem instead of directly to the IXC.

---

<sup>34</sup> Blake, et al., "A Study of AT&T's Competitors' Capacity to Absorb Rapid Demand Growth," p. 7.

**Default Value:**

Tandem-Routed Fraction of Total InterLATA Traffic
0.2

**Support:** The tandem routed fraction of total interLATA traffic is based on data filed by the LECs in response to an FCC data request issued in Docket 80-286: *In the Matter of Amendment of Part 36 of the Commission's Rules and Establishment of a Joint Board*, Docket 80-286, Order, December 1, 1994, 9 FCC Rcd 7962 (1994). See Universal Service Fund Data Request, File 1 of 4, page 8 of 11, 9 FCC Rcd 7962, 7976.

#### 4.5.8. POPs per Tandem Location

**Definition:** The number of IXC points of presence requiring an entrance facility, per LEC tandem.

**Default Value:**

POPs per Tandem Location
5

**Support:** An assumption that envisions POPs for three principal IXCs plus two smaller carriers associated with each LEC tandem.

#### 4.5.9. Threshold Value for Off-Ring Wire Centers

**Definition:** The threshold value, in lines, that determines whether a wire center should be included in ring calculations and therefore be a candidate to appear on (that is, be directly connected to) a ring. Wire centers whose size falls below the threshold will not be appear on a ring, but will be connected via a point-point link to the tandem switch or via a "spur" to the nearest wire center that is on a ring. Transmission equipment in such cases consists of terminal multiplexers and not ADMs. This parameter only applies to companies that own and operate a local tandem switch.

**Default Value:**

Threshold Value for Off-Ring Wire Centers, total lines
1

**Support:** The algorithm that calculates ring configurations includes a test to ensure it is economic to incur the cost of terminal equipment required to be on the ring. Therefore, no other arbitrary limitation is required.

#### 4.5.10. Remote-Host Fraction of Interoffice Traffic

**Definition:** Fraction of local direct traffic assumed to flow from a remote to its host switch.

**Default Value:**

Remote – Host Fraction of Interoffice Traffic, Remote
0.10

**Support:** Based on HAI judgment.

#### **4.5.11. Host-Remote Fraction of Interoffice Traffic**

**Definition:** Fraction of local direct traffic assumed to flow from a host to its remotes.

**Default Value:**

Host – Remote Fraction of Interoffice Traffic, Host
0.05

**Support:** Based on HAI judgment.

#### **4.5.12. Maximum Nodes per Ring**

**Definition:** Maximum number of ADMs that are permitted on a single ring.

**Default Value:**

Maximum Nodes per Ring
16

**Support:** Buffering and other internal delays in add/drop multiplexers (ADMs) ultimately limit the number of ADMs that can constitute a SONET ring. A 16-node limit is a typical value.<sup>35</sup>

#### **4.5.13. Ring Transiting Traffic Factor**

**Definition:** An estimated factor, representing the fraction of traffic that flows from one ring to another by way of a third, or “transit,” ring.

**Default Value:**

Ring Transiting Traffic Factor
0.40

---

<sup>35</sup> Fujitsu, *Network Design Features, FJTU-320-560-100, Issue 3, Revision 1*, December 1995, p.11.

**Support:** Based on HAI judgement of the amount of traffic between wire centers on different rings versus total interoffice traffic and the number of rings that must be transited between the originating and terminating wire center.

#### 4.5.14. Intertandem Fraction of Tandem Trunks

**Definition:** A factor used to estimate the number of additional tandem trunks required to carry intertandem traffic.

**Default Value:**

Intertandem Fraction of Tandem trunks
0.10

**Support:** Based on HAI judgement.

## 4.6. TANDEM SWITCHING

### 4.6.1. Real Time Limit, BHCA

**Definition:** The maximum number of BHCA a tandem switch can process.

**Default Value:**

Real Time Limit, BHCA
750,000

**Support:** Industry experience and expertise of HAI. These numbers are well within the range of the BHCA limitations NORTEL supplies in its Web site. See 4.1.1.

### 4.6.2. Port Limit, Trunks

**Definition:** The maximum number of trunks that can be terminated on a tandem switch.

**Default Value:**

Port Limit, Trunks
100,000

**Support:** AT&T Updated Capacity Cost Study.<sup>36</sup>

### 4.6.3. Tandem Common Equipment Investment

**Definition:** The amount of investment in common equipment for a large tandem switch. Common Equipment is the hardware and software that is present in the tandem in addition to the trunk terminations themselves. The cost of a tandem is estimated by the HM as the cost of common equipment plus an investment per trunk terminated on the tandem.

**Default Value:**

Tandem Common Equipment Investment
\$1,000,000

**Support:** AT&T Capacity Cost Study.<sup>37</sup>

### 4.6.4. Maximum Trunk Fill (Port Occupancy)

**Definition:** The fraction of the maximum number of trunk ports on a tandem switch that can be utilized.

---

<sup>36</sup> Brand, T.L., Hallas, G.A., et al., "An Updated Study of AT&T's Competitors' Capacity to Absorb Rapid Demand Growth", April 19, 1995, p. 9.

<sup>37</sup> Blake, et. al., "A Study of AT&T's Competitors' Capacity to Absorb Rapid Demand Growth", p.9.

**Default Value:**

Maximum Trunk Fill (port occupancy)
0.90

**Support:** This is a HAI estimate, which is used in lieu of forward looking alternatives from public sources or ILECs. It is based on consultations with AT&T and MCI subject matter experts.

#### 4.6.5. Maximum Tandem Real Time Occupancy

**Definition:** The fraction of the total capacity (expresses as the real time limit, BHCA) a tandem switch is allowed to carry before an additional switch is provided.

**Default Value:**

Maximum Tandem Real Time Occupancy
0.9

**Support:** Bell Communications Research, *LATA Switching Systems Generic Requirements*, Section 17: Traffic Capacity and Environment, TR-TSY-000517, Issue 3, March 1989, figure 17.5-1, p. 17-24.

#### 4.6.6. Tandem Common Equipment Intercept Factor

**Definition:** The multiplier of the common equipment investment input that gives the common equipment cost for the smallest tandem switch, allowing scaling of tandem switching investment according to trunk requirements.

**Default Value:**

Tandem Common Equipment Intercept Factor
0.50

**Support:** Value selected to allow tandem common equipment investment to range from \$500,000 to \$1,000,000 which is the appropriate range based on expertise of HAI.

#### 4.6.7. Entrance Facility Distance from Serving Wire Center & IXC POP

**Definition:** Average length of trunks connecting an IXC POP with the wire center that serves it.

**Default Value:**

Entrance Facility Distance from Serving Wire Center & IXC POP
0.5 miles

**Support:** Value selected in recognition of the fact that IXC's typically locate POPs close to the serving wire center to avoid long cable runs.

## 4.7. SIGNALING

### 4.7.1. STP Link Capacity

**Definition:** The maximum number of signaling links that can be terminated on a given STP pair.

**Default Value:**

STP Link Capacity
720

**Support:** AT&T Updated Capacity Cost Study.<sup>38</sup>

### 4.7.2. STP Maximum Fill

**Definition:** The fraction of maximum links (as stated by the STP link capacity input) that the model assumes can be utilized before it adds another STP pair.

**Default Value:**

STP Maximum Fill
0.80

**Support:** The STP maximum fill factor is based on HAI engineering judgment and is consistent with maximum link/port fill levels throughout HM 5.0a.

### 4.7.3. STP Maximum Common Equipment Investment, per Pair

**Definition:** The cost to purchase and install a pair of maximum-sized STPs.

**Default Value:**

STP Maximum Common Equipment Investment, per pair
\$5,000,000

**Support:** AT&T Updated Capacity Cost Study.<sup>39</sup>

### 4.7.4. STP Minimum Common Equipment Investment, per Pair

**Definition:** The minimum investment for a minimum-capacity STP, i.e.: the fixed investment for an STP pair that serves a minimum number of links.

---

<sup>38</sup> Brand, et al., "An Updated Study of AT&T's Competitors' Capacity to Absorb Rapid Demand Growth", p. 26.

<sup>39</sup> Brand, et al., "An Updated Study of AT&T's Competitors' Capacity to Absorb Rapid Demand Growth", p. 26.

**Default Value:**

STP Minimum Common Equipment Investment, per pair
\$1,000,000

**Support:** It is necessary to allow the scaling of STP common equipment for smaller STPs that in some configuration are sufficient for local exchange carriers. The minimum STP common equipment investment cost is an HAI judgment of the lower end of the range of common equipment investment.

#### **4.7.5. Link Termination, Both Ends**

**Definition:** The investment required for the transmission equipment that terminates both ends of an SS7 signaling link.

**Default Value:**

Link Termination, Both Ends
\$900

**Support:** AT&T Updated Capacity Cost Study.<sup>40</sup>

#### **4.7.6. Signaling Link Bit Rate**

**Definition:** The rate at which bits are transmitted over an SS7 signaling link.

**Default Value:**

Signaling Link Bit Rate
56,000 bits per second

**Support:** The AT&T Updated Capacity Cost Study, and an SS7 network industry standard.<sup>41</sup>

#### **4.7.7. Link Occupancy**

**Definition:** The fraction of the maximum bit rate that can be sustained on an SS7 signaling link.

**Default Value:**

Link Occupancy
0.40

---

<sup>40</sup> Brand, et al., "An Updated Study of AT&T's Competitors' Capacity to Absorb Rapid Demand Growth", p. 26.

<sup>41</sup> Brand, et al., "An Updated Study of AT&T's Competitors' Capacity to Absorb Rapid Demand Growth", p. 25.

**Support:** AT&T Updated Capacity Cost Study.<sup>42</sup>

#### 4.7.8. C Link Cross-Section

**Definition:** The number of C-links in each segment connecting a mated STP pair.

**Default Value:**

C Link Cross-Section
24

**Support:** The input was derived assuming the 56 kbps signaling links between STPs are normally transported in a DS-1 signal, whose capacity is 24 DS-0s.

#### 4.7.9. ISUP Messages per Interoffice BHCA

**Definition:** The number of Integrated Services Digital Network User Part (ISUP) messages associated with each interoffice telephone call attempt. Switches send to each other ISUP messages over the SS7 network to negotiate the establishment of a telephone connection.

**Default Value:**

ISUP messages per interoffice BHCA
6

**Support:** AT&T Updated Capacity Cost Study.<sup>43</sup>

#### 4.7.10. ISUP Message Length, Bytes

**Definition:** The average number of bytes in each ISUP (ISDN User Part) message.

**Default Value:**

ISUP Message Length
25 bytes

**Support:** Bellcore Technical Reference TR-NWT-000317, Appendix A, shows that 25 bytes per message is a conservatively high figure. Northern Telecom's DMS-STP product/service information booklet shows an average ISUP message length of 25 bytes.<sup>44</sup> Therefore a default value of 25 average bytes per message is appropriate for use in the HAI Model.

---

<sup>42</sup> Brand, et al., "An Updated Study of AT&T's Competitors' Capacity to Absorb Rapid Demand Growth", p. 24.

<sup>43</sup> Brand, at al., "An Updated Study of AT&T's Competitors' Capacity to Absorb Rapid Demand Growth", p. 25.

<sup>44</sup> Northern Telecom, *DMS-STP Planner 1995, Product/Service Information*, 57005.16, Issue 1, April, 1995, p.13.

#### 4.7.11. TCAP Messages per Transaction

**Definition:** The number of Transaction Capabilities Application Part (TCAP) messages required per Service Control Point (SCP) database query. A TCAP message is a message between a switch and a database that is necessary to provide the switch with additional information prior to setting up a call or completing a call.

**Default Value:**

TCAP Messages per Transaction
2

**Support:** AT&T Updated Capacity Cost Study.<sup>45</sup>

#### 4.7.12. TCAP Message Length, Bytes

**Definition:** The average length of a TCAP message.

**Default Value:**

TCAP Message Length
100 bytes

**Support:** Bellcore Technical Reference TR-NWT-000317, Appendix A, shows that 100 bytes per message is a conservatively high figure. Northern Telecom's DMS-STP product/service information booklet shows an average TCAP message length of 85 bytes.<sup>46</sup>

#### 4.7.13. Fraction of BHCA Requiring TCAP

**Definition:** The percentage of BHCAs that require a database query, and thus generate TCAP messages.

**Default Value:**

Fraction of BHCA Requiring TCAP
0.10

**Support:** The AT&T Updated Capacity Cost Study assumes that 50% of all calls require a database query, but that is not an appropriate number to use in the HM because a substantial fraction of IXC calls are toll-free (800) calls.<sup>47</sup> When reduced to reflect the fact that a large majority of calls handled by the LECs are local calls that do not require such a database query, the 50% would be less than 10%; HAI has used the 10% default as a conservatively high estimate.

---

<sup>45</sup> Brand, et al., "An Updated Study of AT&T's Competitors' Capacity to Absorb Rapid Demand Growth", p. 25.

<sup>46</sup> DMS-STP Planner 1995, p.13.

<sup>47</sup> Brand, et al., "An Updated Study of AT&T's Competitors' Capacity to Absorb Rapid Demand Growth", p. 25.

#### 4.7.14. SCP Investment per Transaction per Second

**Definition:** The investment in the SCP associated with database queries, or transactions, stated as the investment required per transaction per second. For example, if the default of \$20,000 is assumed, an SCP required to handle 100 transactions per second would require a 2 million dollar (\$20,000 times 100) investment.

**Default Value:**

SCP Investment per Transaction, per Second
\$20,000

**Support:** AT&T Updated Capacity Cost Study uses a default value of \$30,000 from the 1990 study, but notes that this is "conservatively high because of the industry's advances in this area and the resulting decrease in technology costs since the 1990 study."<sup>48</sup> The default value used in the HM represents the judgment of HAI as to the reduction of such processing costs since then.

---

<sup>48</sup> Brand, et al., "An Updated Study of AT&T's Competitors' Capacity to Absorb Rapid Demand Growth", p. 27.

## 4.8. OS AND PUBLIC TELEPHONE

### 4.8.1. Investment per Operator Position

**Definition:** The investment per computer required for each operator position.

**Default Value:**

Investment per Operator Position
\$6,400

**Support:** Based on AT&T experience in the long distance business.

### 4.8.2. Maximum Utilization per Position, CCS

**Definition:** The estimated maximum number of CCS that one operator position can handle during the busy hour.

**Default Value:**

Maximum Utilization per Position
32 CCS

**Support:** Industry experience and expertise of HAI in conjunction with subject matter experts.

### 4.8.3. Operator Intervention Factor

**Definition:** The percentage of all operator-assisted calls that require operator intervention, expressed as 1 out of every N calls, where N is the value of the input. Given the default values for operator-assisted calls, this parameter means that 1/10, or 10%, of the assisted calls actually require manual intervention of an operator, as opposed to *automated* operator assistance for credit card verification, etc.

**Default Value:**

Operator Intervention Factor
10

**Support:** Industry experience and expertise of HAI.

### 4.8.4. Public Telephone Equipment Investment per Station

**Definition:** The weighted average cost of a public telephone and pedestal (coin/non-coin and indoor/outdoor).

**Default Value:**

Public Telephone Equipment Investment, per Station
\$760

**Support:** New England Incremental Cost Study.<sup>49</sup>

---

<sup>49</sup> New England Telephone Company, "1993 New Hampshire Incremental Cost Study", p. 90.

## 4.9. ICO PARAMETERS

### 4.9.1. ICO STP Investment, per Line

**Definition:** The surrogate value for equivalent per line investment in STPs by a small independent telephone company (ICO), that is used in lieu of calculating it directly in the model.

**Default Value:**

ICO STP Investment per Line
\$5.50

**Support:** The average STP investment per line estimated by the HAI Model for all states, with 20 percent added to reflect the higher cost a small ICO is likely to encounter, due to its character of use.

### 4.9.2. ICO Local Tandem Investment, per Line

**Definition:** The surrogate value for the per line investment in a local tandem switch by a small independent telephone company (ICO), that is used in lieu of calculating it directly in the model.

**Default Value:**

Per Line ICO Local Tandem Investment
\$1.90

**Support:** The average local tandem investment per line from the HAI Model, with 20 percent added to reflect the higher cost a small ICO is likely to encounter, due to its character of use.

### 4.9.3. ICO OS Tandem Investment, per Line

**Definition:** The surrogate value for the per line investment in an Operator Services tandem switch by a small independent telephone company (ICO), that is used in lieu of calculating it directly in the model.

**Default Value:**

Per Line ICO OS Tandem Investment
\$0.80

**Support:** The average OS tandem investment per line from the HAI Model, with 20 percent added to reflect the higher cost a small ICO is likely to encounter, due to its character of use.

### 4.9.4. ICO SCP Investment, per Line

**Definition:** The surrogate value for the per line investment in a SCP by a small independent telephone company (ICO), that is used in lieu of calculating it directly in the model.

**Default Value:**

Per Line ICO SCP Investment
\$2.50

**Support:** The average SCP investment per line from the HAI Model, with 20 percent added to reflect the higher cost a small ICO is likely to encounter, due to its character of use.

#### **4.9.5. ICO STP/SCP Wire Center Investment, per Line**

**Definition:** The surrogate value for the per line investment in an STP/SCP wire center by a small independent telephone company (ICO), that is used in lieu of calculating it directly in the model.

**Default Value:**

Per Line STP / SCP Wire Center Investment
\$0.40

**Support:** The average STP/SCP wire center investment per line from the HAI Model, with 20 percent added to reflect the higher cost a small ICO is likely to encounter, due to its character of use.

#### **4.9.6. ICO Local Tandem Wire Center Investment, per Line**

**Definition:** The surrogate value for the per line investment in a local tandem wire center by a small independent telephone company (ICO), that is used in lieu of calculating it directly in the model.

**Default Value:**

Per Line ICO Local Tandem Wire Center Investment
\$2.50

**Support:** The average local tandem wire center investment per line from the HAI Model, with 20 percent added to reflect the higher cost a small ICO is likely to encounter, due to its character of use.

#### **4.9.7. ICO OS Tandem Wire Center Investment, per Line**

**Definition:** The surrogate value for the per line investment in a operator services tandem wire center by a small independent telephone company (ICO), that is used in lieu of calculating it directly in the model.

**Default Value:**

Per Line ICO OS Tandem Wire Center Investment
\$1.00

**Support:** The average OS tandem wire center investment per line from the HAI Model, with 20 percent added to reflect the higher cost a small ICO is likely to encounter, due to its character of use.

#### 4.9.8. ICO C-Link / Tandem A-Link Investment, per Line

**Definition:** The surrogate value for the per line investment in a C-link / tandem A-link by a small independent telephone company (ICO), that is used in lieu of calculating it directly in the model.

**Default Value:**

Per Line ICO C-Link / Tandem A-Link Investment
\$0.30

**Support:** The average C-Link / tandem A-link investment per line from the HAI Model, with 20 percent added to reflect the higher cost a small ICO is likely to encounter, due to its character of use.

#### 4.9.9. Equivalent Facility Investment per DS0

**Definition:** The per-DS0 surrogate facilities investment by a small ICO for dedicated circuits between an end office and tandem switch belonging to the BOC (or other large LEC) on which the ICO relies for interoffice connectivity.

**Default Value:**

Equivalent Facility Investment per DS0
\$138.08

**Support:** The model computes the explicit investment required for facilities and terminal equipment connecting the ICO wire center with the nearest BOC wire center, then uses this parameter to separately compute a per-DS0 equivalent facilities investment in BOC dedicated circuits between the BOC wire center and the BOC tandem. The default value is the nationwide average BOC investment in the dedicated transport UNE (part of transport network elements) as calculated by the Model. Alternatively, the user can input the state-specific value that results from running the model for the BOC in question.

#### 4.9.10. Equivalent Terminal Investment per DS0

**Definition:** The per-DS0 surrogate investment by a small ICO for terminal equipment used on dedicated circuits between an end office and tandem switch belonging to the BOC (or other large LEC) on which the ICO relies for interoffice connectivity.

**Default Value:**

Equivalent Terminal Investment per DS0
\$111.62

**Support:** In addition to the equivalent facilities investment incurred by an ICO for the BOC end office to tandem dedicated circuits, the model uses this parameter to separately compute a per-DS0 equivalent investment in the terminal equipment used on the dedicated circuits. The default value is the nationwide average BOC investment in the dedicated transmission terminal UNE (part of transport network elements)

as calculated by the Model. Alternatively, the user can input the state-specific value that results from running the model for the BOC in question.

## 4.10. HOST – REMOTE ASSIGNMENT

### 4.10.1. Host – Remote CLI Assignments

**Definition:** An input form consisting of parameters that allow the user to specify the set of host and remote wire centers, and establish the relationships between remotes and their serving host, using the CLI codes of the respective switches. In the default mode, HM 5.0a does not make such designations or identify such relationships.

**Default Value:**

Host – Remote CLI Assignments
No host-remote relationships defined

**Support:** These parameters are provided to give the user the means to establish host-remote relationships.

### 4.10.2. Host – Remote Assignment Enable

**Definition:** An option that, if enabled, instructs the model to perform switching calculations based on the host-remote relationships defined by Parameter 4.10.1. If enabled, 1) the investment in host/remote combinations are distributed equally among all lines served by the combination, 2) the cost of umbilical trunks between remotes and hosts is modeled explicitly, and 3) the host and remotes will be connected on a local SONET ring.

**Default Value:**

Host – Remote Assignment Flag
Disabled

## 4.11. HOST - REMOTE INVESTMENT

### 4.11.1. Line Sizes

**Definition:** The line size designations used to specify fixed and per line investments for stand alone, host and remote switches.

**Default Values:**

Line Size
0
640
5,000

10,000

**Support:** The HAI Model allows the user to specify the method of computing end office switching investments which, for host, remote, and standalone switches are specified by switch line size. The normal mode of operation in the Model aggregates switch investment as a function of switch line size. The user defined host/remote/standalone switch assignments will allow the user to define the switch investment with explicit identification of host/remote systems.

#### 4.11.2. Fixed and per Line Investments

**Definition:** The fixed and per line investments included in the function that calculates the per line switching investment as a function of switch line size for host, remote, and stand alone switches, expressed separately for BOCs and large independents and for small independents. The cost function for each type of switch and each type of telephone company is assumed to have the form  $A + B * x$ , where A is the fixed investment, B is the per-line investment, and x is the number of lines.

**Default Value:**

Fixed and per Line Investments for Standalone, Host and Remote Switches						
BOCs and Large ICOs						
Line Size	Standalone fixed investment	Host fixed investment	Remote fixed investment	Standalone per line investment	Host per line investment	Remote per line investment
0	\$175,000	\$183,750	\$10,000	\$75	\$75	\$85
640	\$175,000	\$183,750	\$55,000	\$75	\$75	\$83
5,000	\$175,000	\$183,750	\$70,000	\$75	\$75	\$85
10,000	\$475,000	\$498,750	\$225,000	\$73	\$73	\$70
Small ICOs						
Line Size	Standalone fixed investment	Host fixed investment	Remote fixed investment	Standalone per line investment	Host per line investment	Remote per line investment
0	\$300,001	\$315,001	\$17,143	\$129	\$129	\$146
640	\$300,001	\$315,001	\$94,286	\$129	\$129	\$141
5,000	\$300,001	\$315,001	\$120,000	\$129	\$129	\$146
10,000	\$814,289	\$855,003	\$385,716	\$124	\$124	\$120

**Support:** The default values are assembled on a forward-looking basis and are derived on the basis of a forced amalgam of host, remote and standalone switch investments. This system of derived costs does not reflect a detailed analysis of prices. The default values are computed from an amalgamated process, whereby the three categories of switch investments are derived as a function of three representative curves, generated by separate line size, and when considered together yield the same result as the cost function for amalgamated switches.

## 5. EXPENSE

### 5.1. COST OF CAPITAL AND CAPITAL STRUCTURE

**Definition:** The capital cost structure, including the debt/equity ratio, cost of debt, and return on equity, that makes up the overall cost of capital.

**Default Values:**

Cost of Capital	
Debt percent	0.450
Cost of debt	0.077
Cost of equity	0.119
Weighted average Cost of capital	0.1001

**Support:** Based on FCC-approved cost of capital methodology using 1996 financial data and AT&T and MCI-sponsored DCF and CAPM analyses calculating the RBOCs' cost of capital. See, for example, "Statement of Matthew I. Kahal Concerning Cost of Capital," In the Matter of Rate of Return Prescription for Local Exchange Carriers," File No. AAD95-172, March 11, 1996. See also AT&T ex parte filing of February 12, 1997, "Estimating the Cost of Capital of Local Telephone Companies for the Provision of Network Elements," by Bradford Cornell, September, 1996.